

Blood supply faces challenges as fewer first time blood donors have negative blood types

March 21 2022



Credit: Pixabay/CC0 Public Domain

The first analysis of blood groups data since 1993–1994 has shown that the distribution of blood groups has changed, reflecting changes in the demographic characteristics of the Australian population, according to



research published today by the Medical Journal of Australia.

The researchers led by Dr. Rena Hirani, Senior Research Fellow with Australian Red Cross Lifeblood and Macquarie University, analyzed data for <u>blood donors</u> and for people whose <u>blood type</u> was determined in samples submitted for analysis by hospital-based or private pathology agencies, across all Australian states and territories in the 2019 calendar year.

"A total of 1,318,751 valid ABO RhD <u>blood</u> group results were provided," Hirani and colleagues reported.

"Valid ABO RhD data were available for 490,491 blood donors, including 103,798 first-time donors (21.2%). Blood group prevalence based on samples typed by pathology services was O RhD+, 38.4%; O RhD-, 6.5%; A RhD+, 32.0%; A RhD-, 5.6%; B RhD+, 11.8%; B RhD-, 1.5%; AB RhD+, 3.7%; and AB RhD-, 0.5% (totals: RhD+, 85.9%; RhD-, 14.1%).

"The distribution based on typing of first-time blood donors was similar.

"The overall proportion of RhD+ first-time donors rose from 81% in 1993–94 to 83.8% in 2019; the proportion of groups B and AB RhD+ RBC units issued declined from 9.8% in 2010–11 to 6.9% in 2019–20, while that of O RhD– RBC units increased from 11.7% to 17.4%."

Hirani and colleagues wrote that the demographic characteristics of Australia have changed markedly, particularly during the past 10 years, following extensive immigration from South East Asia, India and China, where the prevalence of the B and AB blood groups is generally higher.

"These findings suggest that the proportion of RhD+ blood group donations is increasing at the expense of RhD- RBC units," they wrote.



"As 85.9% of patients blood-typed by pathology services during 2019 were RhD+, diversifying blood holdings to encompass more RhD+ stock would be adequate for meeting clinical requirements.

"In 2019, the proportion of blood donors who were RhD– was larger (20.5%) than that of people blood-typed by pathology services (14.1%). Australian Red Cross Lifeblood actively recruits people with group O RhD– blood to meet the clinical demand for RBC units. But only 16.2% of first-time blood donors in 2019 were RhD–, suggesting that it may be increasingly challenging to recruit new RhD– donors.

"The proportions of O RhD– RBC units issued increased during 2010–20, and that of B RhD+ and AB RhD+ RBC units declined. This indicates substantial reliance by transfusion practitioners on RhD– RBC units, but our findings could encourage consideration of RhD+ options for some purposes.

"Our findings and other information could encourage transfusion laboratories and practitioners to stock and transfuse more closely matched ABO RhD RBC units."

Hirani and colleagues wrote that their findings could be used for "evidence-based forecasting of supply."

"Our findings also indicate the challenges of meeting the increasing demand for RhD– RBC units, as the proportion of RhD– first-time blood donors has declined with the changing demographic characteristics of Australia," they concluded.

More information: Rena Hirani et al, The distribution of ABO RhD blood groups in Australia, based on blood donor and blood sample pathology data, *Medical Journal of Australia* (2022). DOI: 10.5694/mja2.51429



Provided by Medical Journal of Australia

Citation: Blood supply faces challenges as fewer first time blood donors have negative blood types (2022, March 21) retrieved 30 June 2024 from https://medicalxpress.com/news/2022-03-blood-donors-negative.html

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.