


High-frequency spinal cord stimulation shows improved longer lasting pain relief

April 29 2022, by Scott Lafee

Sex Responses to Pain



Sex-based differences in pain pathways. In the male model, microglia are activated to transmit pain, mediated by testosterone levels, while in the female model, the pain pathway is T-cell mediated. If a male has low testosterone levels, the pain pathway switches to the T-cell mediated pathway. Conversely, if a female takes exogenous testosterone, or if she has low T-cell levels, the pain pathway will switch to the microglia pathway. Credit: *Bioelectronic Medicine* (2022). DOI: 10.1186/s42234-022-00090-2

Spinal cord stimulation (SCS) for chronic pain involves delivering low levels of electricity directly into the spinal cord using an implanted device, which modifies or blocks nerve activity to minimize the sensation of pain reaching the brain. The approach is most often used after nonsurgical pain treatment options have failed to provide sufficient relief.

The underlying mechanisms of how SCS works are not fully understood, but in a new paper published in the April 28, 2022 online issue of the journal *Bioelectronic Medicine*, a research team led by scientists at University of California San Diego School of Medicine report high-frequency SCS proved more effective at improving perceived [pain](#) reduction (PPR) than low-frequency SCS in [patients](#) studied, and that there was some variation in PPR between male and [female patients](#).

Low-frequency SCS (50 Hz) was originally approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) as a treatment for intractable back and leg pain in 1989. In 2015, the FDA approved high-frequency SCS (10,000 Hz), which delivers electrical stimulation pulses that are shorter in duration, lower in amplitude and do not induce paresthesia, the abnormal sensation of tingling or prickling.

The newly published retrospective study examined 237 patients who had received SCS treatment between 2004 and 2020: 94 patients (40 females, 54 males) who received HF-SCS and 143 patients (70 females and 73 males) who received LF-SCS. At three and six months post-implantation, the researchers found that PPR across all patients improved compared to baseline, but HF-SCS produced greater PPR than LF-SCS. HF-SCS was also associated with less subsequent use of opioids to mitigate pain.

However, there were differences in the findings between sexes:

- Male PPR, for example, was significantly better for HF-SCS at three and six months when compared to LF-SCS, while this was only true for females at the six-month time point.
- LF-SCS males used more opioids post-implantation and at six months while females used more opioids post-implantation, at three, six and tended to use more opiates at the 12-month time-point.

"Our work was sparked by a growing literature that demonstrate sex specific immune pathways differentially contribute to [chronic pain](#) processes," said senior author Imanuel Lerman, MD, an associate professor of anesthesiology, pain management specialist at UC San Diego Health, and an affiliate of the Qualcomm Institute. "The observed parameter-specific (high versus low frequency) sex-based differences in [spinal cord stimulation](#) efficacy and opiate use are definitely intriguing.

"It's a first step in the right direction, but clearly more work needs to be done to carefully characterize sex specific pain regulatory pathways that may prove responsive to specific types of neuromodulation and or pharmaceutical therapies."

More information: Rosalynn R. Z. Conic et al, Sex-specific

differences in the efficacy of traditional low frequency versus high frequency spinal cord stimulation for chronic pain, *Bioelectronic Medicine* (2022). [DOI: 10.1186/s42234-022-00090-2](https://doi.org/10.1186/s42234-022-00090-2)

Provided by University of California - San Diego

Citation: High-frequency spinal cord stimulation shows improved longer lasting pain relief (2022, April 29) retrieved 23 April 2024 from <https://medicalxpress.com/news/2022-04-high-frequency-spinal-cord-longer-pain.html>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.