

# Longer-acting eye treatment could reduce vision loss for Indigenous Australians

April 19 2022, by Hessom Razavi





Trial participants came from all over Western Australia. Credit: Author provided



Indigenous people in Australia experience <u>three times more vision loss</u> <u>than non-Indigenous people</u>, creating a concerning <u>gap for vision</u>.

Much of this is due to <u>diabetic macular edema</u> (DME). Here, blood vessels in the back of the eye (the retina) are damaged by high blood sugar levels. Over time, this <u>causes swelling (edema) of the central part</u> of the retina (the macula).

Macular edema blurs the central vision, diminishing the ability to recognize people's faces, to drive and work, and perform other essential tasks. DME affects around 23,000 Indigenous people in Australia, with most of them of working age. Similar trends are reported in other developed states with Indigenous populations, including <u>New Zealand</u>, <u>Canada</u> and the <u>United States</u>.

The good news is DME is treatable, with medications known as <u>anti-VEGF agents</u>. We undertook a world-first <u>clinical trial</u> to test longeracting DME treatment for Indigenous Australians. In doing so, we also learned about undertaking culturally sensitive research on Country.

## A longer-lasting treatment

When injected into the eye by an ophthalmologist (an eye surgeon), anti-VEGF drugs are safe and effective for treating DME. The injections don't hurt, since the eye is anesthetized. The catch is that anti-VEGF agents are relatively short-acting, requiring them to be re-administered as often as every month.

Many Indigenous patients find it impractical, for complex and varied reasons, to attend <u>10 to 12 eye appointments a year</u>. There is, therefore, a need for an alternative.

Longer-acting medications do exist. One example is a dexamethasone



implant (commercially known as  $\underline{Ozurdex(R)}$ ), a steroid injected into the eye. The dexamethasone implant only needs to be dosed every three months.

The dexamethasone implant is PBS approved for DME in Australia but has never been evaluated in an Indigenous population. This is important because a possible side effect of steroid medications is increased pressure in the eye. If left untreated, this can lead to a condition called steroid-induced <u>glaucoma</u>.

Glaucoma is thought to occur <u>less commonly overall among Indigenous</u> <u>people</u>, suggesting differences in the physiology of eye pressure between Caucasian and Indigenous eyes. Additionally, the incidence of steroidinduced subtype <u>glaucoma</u> has never been studied among Indigenous people. This is particularly important for people in remote locations, since glaucoma is a "silent disease," requiring regular check ups for detection and treatment.

The <u>historical barriers preventing this sort of research</u> include cultural and geographical factors, as well as a lack of endorsement from Indigenous health services and "staff champions."

#### Not just what to research, but how

At the <u>Lions Eye Institute</u>, we sought to overcome these barriers with the <u>OASIS Study</u>—a world-first clinical trial in ophthalmology to exclusively recruit Indigenous patients.

We framed our study around ten key factors for success including support from all participating Aboriginal Medical Services, free and safe treatment, free transport, appointment reminders, and cultural safety training for all trial staff. Wherever possible, study visits were performed within patients' usual Aboriginal Medical Service. Study



participants could have friends, family and staff members present. This helped communication and a sense of safety and trust.

Over two years, we recruited 38 Indigenous patients and 52 eyes (some patients had DME in both eyes). Patients were recruited from both Perth and country Western Australia. On enrolment, they were randomly assigned to receive dexamethasone implant or an anti-VEGF agent called <u>Avastin</u>. Follow up was performed for check ups and re-treatments. After 12 months, we analyzed all our data, to compare the safety and effectiveness of the two drugs.

<u>The results</u> showed patients who received dexamethasone implant gained four extra letters on a standard eye chart, equivalent to a 6.2% improvement in their vision. Those who received the anti-VEGF agent, meanwhile, lost 5.5 letters on average, representing an 8.9% decline.

Taken together, these results represented a 15% (9.5 letter) visual advantage for patients who received dexamethasone implant. In real world terms, this meant patients met the <u>visual requirements for a</u> <u>private driver's license</u>. Those who received the anti-VEGF agent did not.

This disparity was most pronounced in country towns, where dexamethasone implant had a 37% (24 letter) advantage over the anti-VEGF agent.

# Why it works

As we suspected, the reason for the dexamethasone implant's better performance related to its less frequent, hence more pragmatic, dosing regime.

Over 12 months, patients who were meant to receive four



dexamethasone implant injections, received an average of 3.3 injections. This meant that, on average, they received 82.5% of their intended treatments.

Anti-VEGF patients, meanwhile, received 7.2 of their scheduled 12 injections. This equated to only 60% of their intended treatments, and reflects the difficulty of attending monthly appointments in the real world. Anti-VEGF patients had more than twice as many injections as dexamethasone implant patients, yet ended up with poorer vision.

Not all the results were positive. One third of patients who received dexamethasone implant developed high pressure in the eye—a recognized side effect of steroid injections. While not painful, this requires treatment with pressure-lowering drops and close follow up, to prevent <u>glaucoma</u>.

Secondly, steroid injections speed up <u>cataract</u> formation (a clouding of the lens in the eye). This requires access to cataract surgery, which is not always simple to arrange in remote locations. Based on these caveats, we developed guidelines for the judicious use of dexamethasone implant among Indigenous patients, <u>published in March</u>.

## **Reducing the burden, closing the vision gap**

While <u>dexamethasone</u> implants are not perfect, we believe the OASIS Study provides hope for reducing vision loss and the "burden of treatment" for Indigenous Australians with diabetes.

The ability to perform culturally safe clinical trials means new treatments may be similarly evaluated in the future, with consideration given to input from patients through <u>community-controlled research</u>.

More information: Joos Meyer et al, Intravitreal dexamethasone



versus bevacizumab in Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander patients with diabetic macular oedema: The OASIS study (a randomised control trial), *Clinical & Experimental Ophthalmology* (2022). <u>DOI:</u> <u>10.1111/ceo.14079</u>

This article is republished from <u>The Conversation</u> under a Creative Commons license. Read the <u>original article</u>.

Provided by The Conversation

Citation: Longer-acting eye treatment could reduce vision loss for Indigenous Australians (2022, April 19) retrieved 27 June 2024 from <u>https://medicalxpress.com/news/2022-04-longer-acting-eye-treatment-vision-loss.html</u>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.