

Food assistance program may help prevent child maltreatment

July 13 2022



Credit: Unsplash/CC0 Public Domain

The <u>Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program</u> (SNAP) that helps more than 39 million people avoid food insecurity has an unexpected benefit—it may help prevent child maltreatment.



A new 14-year nationwide study found that states with more generous SNAP policies—and thus more people participating in the program—had fewer <u>children</u> involved in <u>Child Protective Services</u> (CPS) and sent to foster care.

Results showed that a 5% increase in the number of families receiving SNAP benefits reduced a state's CPS and foster care caseloads between 7.6% and 14.3%.

"We knew that SNAP had an important role in alleviating <u>food</u> <u>insecurity</u> and hunger among children," said Michelle Johnson-Motoyama, lead author of the study and professor of social work at The Ohio State University.

"Our findings suggest that investments in SNAP may be of even greater value to the health of children than we knew."

The study was published today in the journal JAMA Network Open.

About 37% of U.S. children experience a CPS investigation in response to a referral for <u>child</u> maltreatment by their 18th birthday. More than 250,000 children enter foster care each year.

The findings should be of particular interest to state policymakers who are concerned about budgets, Johnson-Motoyama said.

"The costs of having children involved with CPS and placing them in foster care are tremendous," she said. "Providing people with nutrition benefits is far less expensive and can save states quite a bit of money."

The results are especially relevant now, as a federal COVID-19 emergency declaration boosting SNAP benefits is set to expire this month. If the declaration does expire, about 700,000 <u>low-income</u>



households in Ohio alone will see cuts in SNAP benefits.

"That could add a lot of stress to families with limited resources who rely on the program to help provide meals. Our study suggests that could harm children and increase the workload of CPS workers," Johnson-Motoyama said.

The researchers conducted a statistical analysis that examined how state SNAP policies were related to CPS and foster care outcomes over time, from 2004 to 2016. They used data from the SNAP Policy Database, SNAP State Options Reports and the National Child Abuse and Neglect Data System for all 50 states and the District of Columbia.

The federal government funds SNAP benefits, but states have a lot of discretion in how they implement the program and determine eligibility, Johnson-Motoyama said.

For example, there are a variety of SNAP policies that states can choose to implement. One is broad-based categorical eligibility, which enables states to raise income eligibility limits somewhat so that low-income working families can still qualify. Others include offering transitional benefits to households who are leaving the Temporary Assistance to Needy Families (TANF) program and excluding child support payments from income limits.

The researchers examined how many SNAP-related policies states implemented and determined that states with more policies that increased eligibility had fewer kids involved in CPS and foster care.

"We found that the number of policies implemented had cumulative effects beyond any individual <u>policy</u> effect," Johnson-Motoyama said.

"So the more generous states were, the bigger impact they had in



reducing CPS and foster care caseloads."

A higher state count of income generosity policies reduced the number of child maltreatment reports accepted for CPS investigation by 352 per 100,000 children—from 557 to 158 per 100,000.

"That's a large reduction in potential child maltreatment. We were particularly surprised by how robust the findings were to other potential factors that could have explained these effects," she said.

"Even when we took into account factors like the impact of the opioid epidemic and the impact of other safety net programs in states, we still saw that the SNAP policies had powerful effects."

Findings showed that offering transitional SNAP benefits to families leaving TANF resulted in particularly large estimated reductions in reports of child maltreatment that would lead to foster care and CPS involvement.

That may be driven by families who leave the TANF program involuntarily, because they have exhausted the benefits available to them.

"The financial stress of no longer having TANF benefits, particularly if parents are unemployed, may increase risks linked to <u>child maltreatment</u>," she said. "SNAP benefits can alleviate some of that pressure."

Overall, the results indicated that SNAP policies worked to reduce CPS and <u>foster care</u> involvement by making more people eligible for the program and expanding the number of people receiving benefits.

"What this suggests to policymakers is to pay greater attention to increasing access to SNAP benefits," Johnson-Motoyama said.



"This is compelling evidence that improving food security for vulnerable families is good for children and is fiscally responsible for states."

Other co-authors came from Ohio State, The University of Kansas and the University of Maryland.

More information: Association Between State Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program Policies, Child Protective Services Involvement, and Foster Care in the US, 2004-2016, *JAMA Network Open* (2022). <u>DOI:</u> 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2022.21509

Provided by The Ohio State University

Citation: Food assistance program may help prevent child maltreatment (2022, July 13) retrieved 26 April 2024 from https://medicalxpress.com/news/2022-07-food-child-maltreatment.html

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.