

COVID: Antiviral drugs are a vital weapon, but misusing them could backfire

August 3 2022, by Stephen Griffin



Credit: MART PRODUCTION from Pexels

The "<u>living with COVID</u>" strategy being pursued by many countries relies heavily on two key pillars. First, that vaccines continue to protect most people from severe disease. And second, that where they don't,



people will receive antiviral treatments. But are the antivirals currently available sufficiently effective, accessible and durable?

Research advances in the realm of antiviral therapies since the pandemic began have been considerable. At the same time, no medicine is perfect. The concern is that if not deployed properly, the effectiveness and longevity of antiviral treatments may be compromised.

So let's take a look at what's in the antivirals arms locker, and how we got to this point.

In 2020, the priority was <u>drug repurposing</u> (identifying medicines for other conditions that might also benefit COVID patients). Success with drugs like <u>dexamethasone</u> followed. This is a steroid which counters the inflammation that occurs as a result of the body's overactive immune response during severe COVID.

Things didn't go as smoothly for direct-acting antivirals, a group of drugs that target the virus directly to suppress infection. So desperate was the situation early in the pandemic that agents like <u>hydroxychloroquine</u> and <u>ivermectin</u> were tested in <u>clinical trials</u> and, predictably, were found wanting.

One promising candidate, however, did emerge during 2020. Remdesivir is a ribonucleotide analog (or "nuc") that mimics the building blocks of the virus' genetic material. It poisons the copying process by not allowing further links to be added to RNA chains. Remdesivir was first developed as a potential cure for hepatitis \underline{C} .

Despite working well against SARS-CoV-2 (the virus that causes COVID) in <u>pre-clinical settings</u>, <u>initial trials</u> in patients with severe COVID <u>were conflicted</u>.



We now know that COVID can progress fairly quickly from a virusdriven disease to the severe <u>sepsis-like consequences</u> of a misfiring immune response. So direct-acting antivirals must be deployed early. A trial where remdesivir was given to <u>high-risk patients soon after</u> <u>infection</u> found an 87% reduced risk of hospitalization or death compared with a placebo.

Another nuc, molnupiravir, becomes incorporated within RNA chains, generating a drug-laden template that corrupts the next copy. Before the pandemic, <u>molnupiravir</u> had been explored for <u>influenza and other</u> <u>viruses</u>. Against COVID, it reduced the risk of hospitalization and death, but only by <u>roughly 30%</u>, despite performing well in <u>lab settings</u>.

Paxlovid, produced by Pfizer, combines two different drugs. Nirmatrelvir, a modified version of an antiviral originally developed <u>for</u> <u>SARS</u>, prevents the virus from replicating.

<u>Ritonavir</u> is not an antiviral, but a <u>potent inhibitor</u> of the liver metabolism, allowing nirmatrelvir to persist for longer in the body and act more efficiently. In trials, Paxlovid saw <u>a reduction</u> in hospitalizations and deaths similar to remdesivir.

Paxlovid and molnupiravir are taken orally, whereas remdesivir is administered intravenously. The <u>approval statuses</u> of these direct-acting antivirals varies in different regions, though remdesivir and Paxlovid are available fairly widely. While all three drugs are conditionally approved and currently available in the UK, the availability of molnupiravir is more limited around the world.

Who can access antivirals?

Although vaccines have changed things for the better, multiple <u>health</u> <u>conditions</u> <u>remain associated</u> with higher risk from COVID, and a



suboptimal immune response to vaccination. This is why the UK and other countries are primarily directing antivirals towards people who are vulnerable.

You may be prescribed antivirals if you're hospitalized with COVID (or catch it in hospital). People in the community can access antiviral treatments if they have a specific medical condition which classifies them as <u>extremely vulnerable</u>.

Patient groups and charities have raised <u>concerns</u> about the limited <u>eligibility criteria</u> in the UK, which are narrower than the criteria were for <u>three initial vaccine doses</u> and <u>shielding</u>.

Alternatively, the <u>Panoramic</u> trial, now managed through a network of <u>GPs</u>, accepts people over 50, or younger people with certain <u>medical</u> <u>conditions</u>. However, patients have a 50:50 chance of receiving Paxlovid or molnupiravir versus a placebo.

A huge thankyou to the 7,000+ people across the UK who have now taken part in the <u>#PANORAMIC</u> COVID-19 study. Together we're generating the evidence needed to roll-out new antivirals treatments which can help keep more people out of hospital. <u>https://t.co/TXa0XOsQoG@DHSCgovuk</u> <u>pic.twitter.com/vKY567Zukq</u>

— NIHR Research (@NIHRresearch) February 3, 2022

Should we broaden access?

Increasing eligibility criteria for antivirals would favorably impact upon many vulnerable people's lives, providing a safety net to allow safer interaction with society. Notwithstanding the results from the <u>Panoramic</u> trial, it may also reduce deaths and <u>severe disease</u>.



But viruses, especially RNA viruses, can develop resistance to singleagent drugs. While relatively rare, it can become established. For example, we saw this with HIV when it was treated with the first antiretroviral drug, <u>AZT</u>.

Remdesivir, molnupiravir and Paxlovid are all single agents (although Paxlovid is made up of two components, only one is an antiviral).

SARS-CoV-2 has developed <u>resistance</u> to remdesivir <u>in the lab</u>. But at this stage, despite widespread hospital use, real-world resistance <u>remains</u> <u>low</u>.

We've seen reports of viral <u>relapse</u> or <u>rebound</u> (testing positive to COVID again after direct-acting antiviral treatment) with <u>Paxlovid and</u> <u>molnupiravir</u>. This could be <u>explained by transient resistance</u>, or <u>delayed</u> <u>immune responses</u>, but we don't know. Pfizer has reported that relapse also occurred <u>in control groups</u> (those in the study not taking the drug for comparison) during Paxlovid trials.

Importantly, viruses are significantly <u>less likely</u> to develop resistance to direct-acting antiviral combinations.

Expanding our antiviral repertoire

We are fortunate to have safe and effective vaccines and therapeutics that lower individual risks of severe COVID. However, our fruitful repurposing of direct-acting antivirals risks being undermined by overuse.

Other <u>agents</u> including <u>favipiravir</u> (an anti-influenza nuc) and <u>oral</u> <u>remdesivir</u> are in the trial pipeline, and may prove useful. Research into new agents should be a priority and <u>combinations of existing agents</u> should be urgently explored. Continuing with just three approved drugs



would be short-sighted.

The capacity for SARS-CoV-2 evolution is troubling, and will likely blindside us again in the future. Direct-acting antivirals should form an integral part of a <u>multi-layered mitigation strategy</u> in current and future pandemics.

This article is republished from <u>The Conversation</u> under a Creative Commons license. Read the <u>original article</u>.

Provided by The Conversation

Citation: COVID: Antiviral drugs are a vital weapon, but misusing them could backfire (2022, August 3) retrieved 4 May 2024 from <u>https://medicalxpress.com/news/2022-08-covid-antiviral-drugs-vital-weapon.html</u>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.