

Overcoming the barriers that keep clinical trials from recruiting diverse populations

September 8 2022, by Julia Liu and RaKetra Snipes



Credit: Pixabay/CC0 Public Domain

Clinical trial participants are <u>predominantly white</u>. Despite Black and Hispanic people respectively making up 12% and 16% of the U.S. population in 2011, together they made up <u>only 6% of clinical trial</u>



participants overall that year.

<u>Clinical trials that are representative</u> of all patients are essential to ensure that treatments are effective for everyone. Drugs work differently for each person based on different factors. Including diverse patient populations in <u>clinical trials</u> makes sure these factors are accounted for. But the <u>perception that recruiting white patients</u> is easier, less timeconsuming and more cost-efficient than recruiting underrepresented or underserved patients contributes to <u>health disparities</u> seen today.

We are <u>gastroenterology researchers</u> at Morehouse School of Medicine who run clinical trials and study ways to improve participant diversity. Identifying and addressing the barriers to enrolling in research that patients face can not only increase participation, but can also lead to better <u>patient care</u>.

The problem of access

Barriers to clinical trial participation start with a lack of access to trials.

Clinical trials have specific eligibility criteria, and may require a number of study-related visits to the hospital where they're conducted. But underserved and underrepresented populations often aren't seen in practices that recruit patients for clinical trials.

Typically, clinical trials are designed by research-focused physicians working in <u>urban medical centers</u>. The majority of Black and Hispanic patients have <u>limited access to the health care system</u> as a whole, and the centers that serve them often do not have the research infrastructure or resources to run clinical trials.

While researchers have made attempts to <u>increase the diversity of</u> <u>clinical trial participants</u>, <u>misconceptions and biases</u> within the medical



community about underrepresented populations limit these outreach efforts. For example, <u>beliefs persist</u> that Black patients aren't willing to participate in research studies and are noncompliant and mistrustful due to historical abuse from medical researchers, such as in the <u>Tuskegee</u> <u>syphilis study</u>.

A colon cancer case study

One example of how lack of diversity in clinical trial participation can lead to poor health for patients is outcomes for <u>inflammatory bowel</u> <u>disease</u>. IBD is a chronic condition that comes in two forms, ulcerative colitis and Crohn's disease. Patients with IBD have an <u>increased risk of</u> <u>developing colorectal cancer</u>.

Black patients account for 75% of IBD cases at Morehouse School of Medicine. Many of our current patients were either previously misdiagnosed or only treated for their symptoms in the emergency room without further investigation. This has led to many of our patients getting diagnosed with IBD when the disease is already at an advanced stage, making it more difficult to treat.

Julia Liu started Morehouse's <u>first colorectal cancer clinical trial</u> in 2021. The Prevention of Colorectal Cancer Through Multiomics Blood Testing study evaluated whether a particular blood test could aid in early <u>colorectal cancer</u> screening. Due to misconceptions about Black patients' interest in research, we anticipated few patients would participate in the trial because our patient base is 90% African American. The sponsor for the study expected an enrollment rate as low as one to three patients per week at our site.

However, when enrollment began, we saw high interest among our Black patients. After three months, we had enrolled <u>100 patients, 85% of</u> <u>whom were Black</u>.



So how did we do it?

Identifying barriers

First, we identified the participation barriers our Black and Hispanic patients faced.

We conducted a <u>pilot study</u> to identify more broadly the main barriers to research participation in underserved and underrepresented populations in our area. We reviewed enrollment rates, failures to meet eligibility and data issues, among others, from our past studies to see where we could improve.

We evaluated the role of mistrust by <u>surveying patients at an IBD</u> <u>education conference</u>. Although patients often cited mistrust of health care providers and systems as a major barrier to trial participation, 70% of patients with a college education trusted their gastroenterologists, and 100% of patients with less than a college education trusted their doctors. Moreover, while 83% of respondents knew about the Tuskegee syphilis study, only 23% indicated that their decision to not participate in research was influenced by that study.

We also identified other barriers based on our experience working with Black patients in other clinical trials. A significant one was low levels of <u>health literacy</u>, or the ability to understand and make informed health care decisions. Another was limited access to specialty care, particularly when patients have been waiting for months for an appointment. Lack of transportation was another.

Time was another barrier. Because many of our patients have limited exposure to clinical studies, they have many questions and concerns that most clinicians <u>do not have the time</u> to answer in the space of a standard appointment. Patients also could not afford the time commitment



required for complex clinical trials. An <u>informed consent process</u> can take over an hour, and many trials require frequent and prolonged hospital visits, for which patients may not be able to take paid time off.

Implementing solutions

After identifying these barriers, we worked to create solutions to help our patients participate in research.

We pre-screened potential study participants by looking through their electronic medical records and provider referrals. This helped us identify qualifying patients and proactively reach out to them.

We also trained research staff as well as hospital administration, faculty, staff and students on the study, offering tips on how to interact with underserved and underrepresented patients.

Most importantly, we encouraged our clinicians and researchers to create a safe space for participants to be able to ask questions. This also provides an opportunity to work out ways to accommodate a patient's schedule.

Making an effort

Working with diverse participants can be challenging, but so is every other aspect of clinical research. Our results showed that intentional recruitment, flexibility and creative solutions can help increase enrollment of underrepresented populations in clinical trials.

Partnering with an institution dedicated to serving underserved populations can also help. But above all, research participants are looking for a genuine interest in their well-being.



This article is republished from <u>The Conversation</u> under a Creative Commons license. Read the <u>original article</u>.

Provided by The Conversation

Citation: Overcoming the barriers that keep clinical trials from recruiting diverse populations (2022, September 8) retrieved 18 April 2024 from https://medicalxpress.com/news/2022-09-barriers-clinical-trials-diverse-populations.html

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.