


Electronic comparison of MRI brain images accurately determines biological age

September 22 2022



Multi-level ensembles (MLENS). MLENS trained on the different MRI sequences (T1, FLAIR, SWI; top: MLENS type i), and their combinations with 3 brain regions (bottom: MLENS type ii). The predictions of the sub-ensembles of each MLENS on the test set were used to train and evaluate the top-level linear

head model. Credit: *NeuroImage* (2022). DOI: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2022.119504

The biological age of a person can be accurately determined from brain images using the latest AI technology, so-called artificial neural networks.


Until now, however, it was unclear which features these networks used to infer age. Researchers at the Max Planck Institute for Human Cognitive and Brain Sciences have now developed an algorithm that reveals age estimation goes back to a whole range of features in the [brain](#), providing general information about a person's state of health. The algorithm could thus help to detect tumors or Alzheimer's disease more quickly and allows conclusions to be drawn about the neurological consequences of diseases such as diabetes.

Deep neural networks are an AI technology that is already enriching our everyday lives on many levels: The artificial networks, which are modeled on the way real neurons work, can understand and translate language, interpret texts and recognize objects and people in images. But they can also determine a person's age based on an MRI scan of their brain. It is true that it would be easier to find out the age by asking the person. However, machine age determination also gives you an idea of what a healthy brain normally looks like at different stages of life.

If the network estimates the biological age of the brain based on the scan to be higher than it actually is, that can indicate possible disease or injury. Previous studies, for example, had found that the brains of people with certain diseases, such as diabetes or [severe cognitive impairment](#), appeared to have more years under their belts than was actually the case. In other words, the brains were in a biologically worse

state than one would assume based on the age of these people.

Although artificial neural networks can accurately determine biological age, until now it was not known what information from the [brain images](#) their algorithms used to do so. Scientists from the field of AI research also refer to this as the "black box problem." According to this, you push a brain image into the model, the "black box," let it process it—and ultimately only get its answer. However, due to the complexity of the networks, it was previously unclear how this response is generated.



Relevant areas for brain-age predictions across adult lifespan. T-maps of one-sample t-test over aggregated, absolute relevance maps shown in several brain slices. Left column: $t(2,16)$; MNI152 z-axis range: 3–74. The wider range of t-values shows that the model uses information from the whole brain for its age estimates. Right column: 3D-projection of t-maps focusing on higher t-values

narrowly clipped for each MRI sequence, separately. These narrower t-maps highlight areas which dominate the model estimates. Top row: tested on the T1 sub-ensemble (Type i; $n = 402$, $t_{\max} = 23.61$). Mid row: FLAIR sub-ensemble ($n = 402$, $t_{\max} = 25.82$). Bottom row: SWI sub-ensemble ($n = 314$, $t_{\max} = 16.07$). The relevance scores were drawn from one of the MLENS type i models. Credit: *NeuroImage* (2022). DOI: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2022.119504

An algorithm for interpreting AI results

Scientists at the Max Planck Institute for Human Cognitive and Brain Sciences in Leipzig therefore wanted to open the black box: What does the model look at to arrive at its result, the brain age? To do this, they worked with the Fraunhofer Institute for Telecommunications in Berlin to develop a new interpretation algorithm that can be used to analyze the age estimates of the networks.

"This is the first time we have applied the interpretation algorithm in a complex regression task," explains Simon M. Hofmann, a Ph.D. candidate at Max Planck Institute and first author of the underlying study, which has now appeared in the journal *NeuroImage*. "We can now determine exactly which regions and features of the brain are indicative of a higher or lower biological age."

This showed that the artificial neural networks use, among other things, the white matter to make predictions. Accordingly, they look in particular at how many small cracks and scarring run through the nerve tissue in the brain. They also analyze how wide the furrows are in the cerebral cortex or how large the cavities, the so-called ventricles, are.

Previous studies have shown that the older a person is, the larger his or her furrows and ventricles are on average. The interesting thing is that

the artificial neural networks arrived at these results on their own—without having been given this information. During their training phase, all they had available were the brain scans and the person's true years of life.

"Of course, an increased age estimate can also be interpreted as an error of the model," said Veronica Witte, the leader of the research group.

"But we were able to show that these deviations are biologically significant." For example, the researchers confirmed that people with diabetes have increased brain age. They were able to show that sufferers have more lesions in the white matter.

Future role in medical diagnosis

It's already clear, artificial neural networks will play an increasingly important role in medical diagnosis. Knowing what these algorithms are guided by will thus become increasingly important: In the future, a brain scan could be automatically analyzed by different networks, each of which specializes in certain areas—one draws conclusions about Alzheimer's disease, another about tumors, and yet another about possible mental disorders.

"The physician then not only receives feedback that certain diseases may be present. She also sees which areas in the brain underlie the diagnoses," Hofmann explains. The corresponding features are marked directly in the MRI image by the algorithms in each case and can thus be detected more easily by the medical professionals—who in turn can then draw immediate conclusions about how severe a disease is.

It would also be easier to detect misdiagnoses: If the analysis is based on biologically implausible areas, such as errors that occurred when the image was created, these can be immediately detected by the physician. The research team's interpretation [algorithm](#) can thus ultimately also

help improve the accuracy of the [artificial neural networks](#) themselves.

In a follow-up study, the researchers now want to investigate in more detail why their models also look at features in the brain that have so far played little role in aging research—for example, the neural networks also focus on the cerebellum. How aging processes progress there in healthy and diseased people has been a mystery to scientists.

More information: Simon M. Hofmann et al, Towards the interpretability of deep learning models for multi-modal neuroimaging: Finding structural changes of the ageing brain, *NeuroImage* (2022). [DOI: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2022.119504](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2022.119504)

Provided by Max Planck Society

Citation: Electronic comparison of MRI brain images accurately determines biological age (2022, September 22) retrieved 20 June 2024 from <https://medicalxpress.com/news/2022-09-electronic-comparison-mri-brain-images.html>

| |
|--|
| <p>This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.</p> |
|--|