

Socialness is in the eye of the beholder

December 7 2022



Credit: Pixabay/CC0 Public Domain

Although people are generally predisposed to perceive interactions to be social even in unlikely contexts, they don't always agree on exactly which information is social, according to a new Dartmouth College study.

The findings show that much of the brain responds more strongly to

[information](#) that is interpreted as social versus non-social.

Published in the *Journal of Neuroscience*, the results contribute to research illustrating how humans are drawn to [social connections](#).

It has long been known that humans tend to perceive social information, including in inanimate stimuli, such as seeing a face in a rocky outcropping, or interpreting the motion of two shapes as a social interaction. Previous studies on [social perception](#) using such [geometric-shaped animations](#) have often relied on labels assigned over 20 years ago by researchers that designated which animations should be classified as social versus random (non-social) motion. However, Dartmouth's study employs a more subjective approach and is based on participants' own reports of whether they perceive a given animation as social or not.

"Through this research, we set out to understand how and why people can perceive the same dynamic social information differently," says lead author Rekha Varrier, a postdoctoral fellow in the Functional Imaging and Naturalistic Neuroscience (FINN) Lab in the department of psychological and [brain sciences](#) at Dartmouth. "By taking into account people's own perceptions, we can understand the underlying neural processes better."

To examine the behavioral and neural correlates of "conscious" social perception, the Dartmouth team used data from the social cognition task in the Human Connectome Project. With over 1,000 healthy adult participants, the project provides researchers with a large, public dataset to work from.

For the task, participants were asked to watch 10 animations of two or more shapes in motion that were 20 seconds in length while their [brain activity](#) was recorded in an fMRI scanner. The task was designed to be balanced, as five of the animations were meant to be social and the other

five were not. After watching each animation, participants were asked to indicate how they perceived the content by selecting one of the following labels: social, non-social, or unsure.

The results demonstrated that participants were biased towards perceiving information as social in that they were more likely to declare an animation intended to be random as "social" than to declare one intended to be social as "random." Furthermore, participants tended to express higher uncertainty on how to categorize animations when the stimuli were meant to be perceived as non-social, perhaps indicating a reluctance to declare content as non-social altogether.

"Humans depend on [social structures](#) to survive," says senior author Emily Finn, an assistant professor of psychological and brain sciences, and principal investigator of the FINN Lab at Dartmouth. "Our brains and minds might be primed to see things as social because it confers an evolutionary advantage."

Finn also notes, "We're likely tuned to see social information in our surroundings because the cost of missing a [social interaction](#) would likely be higher than that of falsely perceiving something as social."

"Our fMRI results indicate that much of the brain cares about social information," says Varrier. "We found that the neural response to social content occurs early both in time and in the cortical hierarchy."

The findings show that the processing of [social information](#) occurs in early [brain](#) regions that are typically involved in processing visual information, including regions in the lateral occipital and temporal regions.

Through future work, the researchers plan to develop their own set of animations that are deliberately ambiguous, which will enable them to

ask more precise questions about why there are different perceptions of social interactions across individuals. The results could potentially be used to better understand [autism spectrum disorder](#) and to gain a more nuanced understanding of social perception.

More information: Rekha S. Varrier et al, Seeing social: A neural signature for conscious perception of social interactions, *The Journal of Neuroscience* (2022). [DOI: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0859-22.2022](https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0859-22.2022)

Provided by Dartmouth College

Citation: Socialness is in the eye of the beholder (2022, December 7) retrieved 27 April 2024 from <https://medicalxpress.com/news/2022-12-socialness-eye.html>

<p>This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.</p>
--