

The well-being 'pandemic'—how the global drive for wellness might be making us sick

February 23 2023, by Steven James Jackson, Marcelle Dawson and Michael Sam



Credit: Pixabay/CC0 Public Domain

Are we in the midst of a well-being pandemic? The question may seem curious, even contradictory. But look around, the concept is everywhere



and spreading: in the media, in government institutions and transnational organizations, in schools, in workplaces and in the marketplace.

To be clear, it's not just well-being's infectiousness in public discourse that makes it pandemic-like. It's also the genuine malaise that can be caused by the term's misuse and exploitation.

Do you sense, for example, that your well-being is increasingly being scrutinized by peers, managers and insurance companies? Are you noticing an increasing number of advertisements offering products and services that promise enhanced well-being through consumption? If so, you're not alone.

But we also need to ask whether this obsession with well-being is having the opposite to the desired effect. To understand why, it's important to look at the origins, politics and complexities of well-being, including its strategic deployment in the process of what we call "well-being washing"

The halo effect

While concerns about well-being can be traced to antiquity, the term has emerged as a central feature of contemporary social life. One explanation is that it is often conflated with concepts as diverse as happiness, quality of life, life satisfaction, human flourishing, mindfulness and "wellness".

Well-being is flexible, in the sense that it can be easily inserted into a diverse range of contexts. But it's also surrounded by a kind of halo, automatically bestowed with a positive meaning, similar to concepts such as motherhood, democracy, freedom and liberty.

To contest the value and importance of such things is to risk being



labeled a troublemaker, a non-believer, unpatriotic or worse.

These days, there are two main concepts of well-being. The first—subjective well-being—emphasizes a holistic measure of an individual's mental, physical and spiritual health. This perspective is perhaps best reflected in the World Health Organization's WHO-5 Index , designed in 1998 to measure people's subjective well-being according to five states: cheerfulness, calmness, vigor, restfulness and fulfillment.

Translated into more than 30 languages, the overall influence of the WHO-5 Index should not be underestimated; both governments and corporations have embraced it and implemented policy based on it.

But the validity of the index, and others like it, has been questioned. They're prone to oversimplification and a tendency to marginalize alternative perspectives, including Indigenous approaches to physical and mental health.

Individual responsibility

The second perspective—objective well-being—was a response to rising social inequality. It focuses on offering an <u>alternative to GDP</u> as a measure of overall national prosperity.

One example of this is New Zealand's <u>Living Standards Framework</u>, which is guided by four operating principles: distribution, resilience, productivity and sustainability. These new and purportedly more progressive measures of national economic and social outcomes signal societal change, optimism and hope.

The trouble with such initiatives, however, is that they remain rooted within a particular neoliberal paradigm in which individual behavior is the linchpin for change, rather than the wider political and economic



structures around us.

Arguably, this translates into more monitoring and "disciplining" of personal actions and activities. Intentionally or not, many organizations interpret and use well-being principles and policies to reinforce existing structures and hierarchies.

Consider how the well-being agenda is playing out in your organization or workplace, for example. Chances are you have seen the growth of new departments, work units or committees, policies and programs, wellness workshops—all supposedly linked to health and well-being.

You may even have noticed the creation of new roles: well-being coaches, teams or "champions". If not, then "lurk with intent" and be on the lookout for the emergence of yoga and meditation offerings, nature walks and a range of other "funtivities" to support your well-being.

Well-being washing

The danger is that such initiatives now constitute another semi-obligatory work task, to the extent that non-participation could lead to stigmatization. This only adds to stress and, indeed, unwellness.

Deployed poorly or cynically, such schemes represent aspects of "well-being washing". It's a strategic attempt to use language, imagery, policies and practices as part of an organization's "culture" to connote something positive and virtuous.

In reality, it could also be designed to enhance productivity and reduce costs, minimize and manage reputational risk, and promote <u>conformity</u>, <u>control and surveillance</u>.

Ultimately, we argue that well-being now constitutes a "field of power";



not a neutral territory, but a place where parties advance their own interests, often at the expense of others. As such, it's essential that scholars, policymakers and citizens explore, as one author <u>put it</u>, "what and whose values are represented, which accounts dominate, what is their impact and on whom".

Because if well-being is becoming a pandemic, we may well need the "vaccine" of critical reflection.

This article is republished from <u>The Conversation</u> under a Creative Commons license. Read the <u>original article</u>.

Provided by The Conversation

Citation: The well-being 'pandemic'—how the global drive for wellness might be making us sick (2023, February 23) retrieved 24 April 2024 from https://medicalxpress.com/news/2023-02-well-being-pandemichow-global-wellness-sick.html

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.