

Clinical utility, not 'prettiness,' best metric for evaluating AI improvements to medical imaging, say engineers

June 19 2023, by Shawn Ballard



In these representative reconstructed images, the column on the left represents ground truth and the column on the right shows a scanned image after AI denoising. In these examples, AI-based denoising methods reduced clinical usefulness by removing defects (top two rows, defects marked by yellow arrows) or introducing false defects (bottom two rows, defects marked by red arrows). Credit: Jha lab



Medical imaging plays an essential role in diagnosis and treatment for an array of conditions. From X-rays to see a broken bone or a tooth cavity to SPECT scans for spotting heart defects, doctors use medical imaging to look inside the body, find disease and treat it appropriately. But what happens when those images aren't clear?

Recent advances in <u>artificial intelligence</u> have opened the door to using AI-based methods for denoising, or cleaning up, <u>medical images</u>. However, before these tools can be used in <u>clinical settings</u> for real patient care, they need to be rigorously evaluated, said Abhinav Jha, assistant professor of biomedical engineering in the McKelvey School of Engineering and of radiology at Mallinckrodt Institute of Radiology (MIR) in the School of Medicine, both at Washington University in St. Louis.

In a study published in *Medical Physics*, Jha and collaborators at MIR evaluated a commonly used AI-based approach to denoise cardiac SPECT images. The team assessed the performance of the approach in two ways: How visually similar were denoised images to normal images and how well did the denoised image perform in the clinically relevant task of detecting heart defects?

"Rather alarmingly, while the visual-similarity-based metrics suggested that the AI-based denoising technique improved performance, it was actually having no significant impact, and in some cases, it was even degrading performance on clinical tasks," Jha said. "This emphasizes the important need for performing evaluation of AI algorithms on clinical tasks and not just relying on visual similarity as a measure of performance."

In the study, first author Zitong Yu, a doctoral student in Jha's lab, found



that the AI denoising technique tended to smooth out cardiac SPECT images, which reduced noise as intended, but also reduced the contrast of the heart defect that doctors need to make accurate diagnoses. "This is precisely what we want to prevent from happening in actual medical practice," Yu said.

The study advocates for task-based evaluation of AI-based denoising methods to assess the usefulness of AI-processed images. "Ensuring AIbased denoising works well for real clinical tasks—not just aesthetically—would mean big benefits for patients by producing highquality images in less time or with reduced radiation doses," said collaborator Robert J. Gropler, professor of radiology and senior vice chair and division director of radiological sciences at MIR.

Jha and his team have been developing a new denoising technique along this direction, and their presentation on this topic received an honorable mention at the SPIE Medical Imaging meeting. Jha also led a multiinstitutional, multi-agency team tasked with developing a framework for evaluating AI-based <u>medical imaging</u> methods. Their guidelines, <u>Recommendations for Evaluation of AI for Nuclear Medicine</u> (<u>RELAINCE</u>), were released in 2022 and informed this latest research.

More information: Zitong Yu et al, Need for objective task-based evaluation of deep learning-based denoising methods: A study in the context of myocardial perfusion SPECT, *Medical Physics* (2023). DOI: 10.1002/mp.16407

Provided by Washington University in St. Louis

Citation: Clinical utility, not 'prettiness,' best metric for evaluating AI improvements to medical imaging, say engineers (2023, June 19) retrieved 13 May 2024 from



https://medicalxpress.com/news/2023-06-clinical-prettiness-metric-ai-medical.html

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.