

## Pulsed field ablation found to be noninferior to thermal ablation in paroxysmal atrial fibrillation

August 28 2023



Credit: Pixabay/CC0 Public Domain

Pulsed field ablation (PFA) is as effective and safe as conventional thermal ablation for the treatment of paroxysmal atrial fibrillation (AF), according to late-breaking research presented in a Hot Line session today at ESC Congress 2023.

ESC guidelines recommend <u>catheter ablation</u> after failure of drug therapy in patients with paroxysmal AF. Conventional <u>ablation</u>



technology uses thermal energy (either heat/radiofrequency energy or cold/cryothermal energy) to ablate the tissue. Unlike thermal ablation, PFA uses high energy electrical pulses to destroy tissue by a process called electroporation. Preclinical and clinical studies have demonstrated that PFA seems to preferentially ablate the heart tissue, with minimal or no damage to surrounding structures such as the esophagus and phrenic nerve, as well as no pulmonary vein stenosis—all complications that can occur with conventional thermal ablation.

ADVENT was the first randomized controlled trial comparing PFA to conventional ablation (either radiofrequency or cryothermal ablation). The trial is intended to inform Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approval of the PFA catheter in the US. The study enrolled patients with drug-resistant, symptomatic paroxysmal AF. One to three roll-in patients were permitted at each site to ensure experience using the PFA catheter. The remaining patients were randomized in a 1:1 ratio to PFA or conventional thermal ablation.

At the beginning of the trial, approximately 50% of centers were assigned to radiofrequency energy for the <u>control group</u> and the remaining centers were assigned to cryothermal energy for the control group. Patients were followed for 12 months with weekly electrocardiogram transmissions and 72-hour Holter assessments at six and 12 months.

A total of 687 patients underwent ablation by 65 operators at 30 centers in the US. The initial roll-in phase included 80 patients; the remaining 607 patients were randomized to PFA (305 patients) or conventional thermal ablation (302 patients, of whom 167 received radiofrequency ablation and 135 received cryoablation). The average age of randomized participants was 62 years and approximately 35% were female.

The primary efficacy endpoint was the success rate, defined as acute



success and chronic success (one-year freedom from recurrent atrial arrhythmias, antiarrhythmic drug use, and cardioversion or repeat ablation). The <u>success rate</u> was 73.3% and 71.3% in the PFA and thermal groups, respectively, and met the prespecified criteria for noninferiority (between-group difference, 2.0%; 95% Bayesian credible interval [BCI], -5.2 to 9.2%; posterior probability of noninferiority >0.999). The secondary efficacy endpoint was the same as the primary efficacy endpoint, but tested for superiority: this did not meet the criteria for superiority (posterior probability of superiority 0.708).

The primary safety endpoint was a composite of serious adverse events related to use of an ablation catheter or the procedure itself and occurring within seven days, as well as pulmonary vein stenosis or esophageal fistula occurring at any time during the 12-month follow-up. This endpoint occurred in 2.1% and 1.5% patients in the PFA and thermal groups, respectively, and met the prespecified criteria for noninferiority (between-group difference, 0.6%; 95% BCI, -1.5 to 2.8%; posterior probability of noninferiority >0.999).

The secondary safety endpoint was the change in pulmonary vein dimension (i.e., any stenosis or narrowing) from baseline to day 90. The reductions in vein cross-sectional area were 3.3% and 19.5% in the PFA and thermal groups, respectively, which met the prespecified criteria for superiority of PFA (posterior probability of superiority >0.999).

Principal investigator Professor Vivek Reddy of the Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, U.S., said, "The ADVENT trial showed that PFA is as effective and safe as conventional thermal ablation to treat paroxysmal AF. Procedure times were faster for PFA than thermal ablation, but there was more X-ray exposure with PFA."

Provided by European Society of Cardiology



Citation: Pulsed field ablation found to be noninferior to thermal ablation in paroxysmal atrial fibrillation (2023, August 28) retrieved 16 June 2024 from <a href="https://medicalxpress.com/news/2023-08-pulsed-field-ablation-noninferior-thermal.html">https://medicalxpress.com/news/2023-08-pulsed-field-ablation-noninferior-thermal.html</a>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.