

# Taxpayers should foot the bill if EU demands efficient removal of pharmaceuticals from wastewaters, say researchers

September 5 2023

---



Credit: Pixabay/CC0 Public Domain

The public sector should pay if EU demands efficient removal of pharmaceuticals from wastewaters, according to researchers at the

Center for Antibiotic Research, CARE, at the University of Gothenburg. Their argumentation analysis, published in the journal *Public Health Ethics*, raises important questions of responsibility.

As the [use of medication](#) increases, the problem of pollution and associated environmental impact grows as well. Pharmaceutical residues in urine and feces are increasingly released into the environment via municipal wastewaters, posing risks to both [public health](#) and ecosystems.

Therefore, determining who should manage risks and bear the cost for more advanced wastewater treatment is a critical question. In the European Union, the guiding principle is that the polluter should pay, but researchers at CARE conclude that it would be more reasonable to let costs be borne by water consumers or taxpayers rather than [pharmaceutical companies](#).

The European Union is expected to impose requirements for more efficient removal of pharmaceuticals at all major municipal treatment plants in Europe. Companies manufacturing medicines are often identified as those who should bear the cost, following the "polluter pays" principle. However, researchers at CARE highlight both ethical and practical limitations with this principle.

## **Who is the true polluter?**

The core of the "polluter pays" principle is simple yet powerful: those causing pollution should bear the financial and administrative responsibility to counteract it. This not only encourages responsible behavior, but it also seems fair that those contributing to creating a problem also contribute to its solutions. However, a crucial challenge is to identify the true polluter.

Pharmaceuticals are different from most other goods. Supranational systems approve them, states subsidize them, and regional councils and doctors decide on prescriptions before individuals can use them.

Both society and individual consumers demand pharmaceuticals and thus contribute to emissions in different ways. Therefore, the justification for placing the burden of potential sewage purification solely on the manufacturer of a medicine can be questioned.

## **Significant societal consequences**

If pharmaceutical companies are compelled to bear the costs of advanced sewage treatment, there is a substantial risk that, for purely economic reasons, they would rather refrain from selling medications in a given region. It is often challenging to replace a medication with a specific active substance with another more environmentally friendly one without jeopardizing patient benefit.

"The consequences of sales halts would, in many cases, be devastating for national health care. On average, it takes more than a decade for a new medicine to reach the market, and it often costs more than one billion euro. Developing 'green' pharmaceuticals is thus not a viable solution, except perhaps in the very long term," says Professor Joakim Larsson, Center director of CARE.

## **Balancing responsibility and sustainability**

Researchers at CARE propose a hybrid framework to balance responsibility and sustainable pharmaceutical supply and usage. This framework combines the "polluter pays" principle with a "capacity principle," according to which complex collective problems should be addressed by actors that have the financial and practical capabilities to

handle them without causing excessively [negative consequences](#) for others. Within the hybrid framework, it matters less who causes the problem, and the focus is on solutions.

Upgrading [sewage treatment plants](#) to better remove [pharmaceutical residues](#) could then be a viable strategy. The importance of preserving access to clinically important medications justifies distributing the costs of upgrades among water consumers and/or taxpayers.

**More information:** Erik Malmqvist et al, Pharmaceutical Pollution from Human Use and the Polluter Pays Principle, *Public Health Ethics* (2023). [DOI: 10.1093/phe/phad012](https://doi.org/10.1093/phe/phad012)

Provided by University of Gothenburg

Citation: Taxpayers should foot the bill if EU demands efficient removal of pharmaceuticals from wastewaters, say researchers (2023, September 5) retrieved 29 April 2024 from <https://medicalxpress.com/news/2023-09-taxpayers-foot-bill-eu-demands.html>

<p>This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.</p>
--