

# Political scientist: How Alabama Supreme Court's IVF ruling turned me into a reproductive-rights refugee

March 12 2024, by Spencer Goidel



Spencer and Gabby Goidel hadn't planned to become activists. Credit: Spencer and Gabby Goidel, <u>CC BY-ND</u>

The day before the Alabama Supreme Court ruled that <u>frozen embryos</u> <u>created and used for in vitro fertilization</u> are children, my wife, Gabby,



and I were greenlighted by our doctors to begin the IVF process. We live in Alabama.

That Friday evening, Feb. 16, 2024, unaware of the ruling, Gabby started taking her stimulation medications, worth roughly US\$4,000 in total. We didn't hear about the decision until Sunday morning, Feb. 18. By then, she had taken four injections—or two doses—of each of the stimulation medications.

For those who don't know, the IVF process is a winding journey full of tests, bloodwork and bills. An IVF patient takes hormones for eight to 14 days to stimulate their ovaries to produce many mature eggs. The mature eggs are then retrieved via a minor surgical procedure and fertilized with sperm in a lab. The newly created embryos are monitored, sometimes biopsied and frozen for genetic testing, and then implanted, usually one at a time, in the uterus. From injection to implantation, one round of IVF takes four to eight weeks.

IVF can be as stressful as it is exciting. However, the potential of having a successful pregnancy and our own child at the end of the process, we hoped, would make it all worth it. The decision by the Alabama Supreme Court threw our dreams up in the air.

I <u>study politics</u>—I don't practice it. I'm not involved in state or local government. I'm a scholar, not an activist or an advocate. But now one of the most intimate, personal events of our lives had been turned into a political event by the state's highest court. As a result, I became something else, too, which I had not been before: an activist.

### Making sense of the ruling



Throughout the process of creating, growing and testing embryos in a lab, as many as 50% to 70% of embryos can be lost. Similarly, in the preimplantation stage of natural pregnancies, many embryos don't survive.

If embryos are children, as the court ruled, then fertility clinics and patients would be exposed to an immense amount of potential legal liability. Under this new framework, patients would be able to bring wrongful death suits against doctors for the normal failures of embryos in the testing or implantation phase. Doctors would either have to charge more for an already expensive procedure to cover massive legalinsurance costs or avoid IVF altogether.

The decision and its implication—that IVF could not continue in the state of Alabama—felt like a personal affront to us. We were infuriated to have this uncertainty injected into the process three days into injecting IVF medication.

While the decision clearly imperiled the future of IVF in Alabama, it was not clear to us whether we would be allowed to continue the process we had begun. We were left completely in the dark for the next four days. Gabby and I had no choice but to continue daily life and IVF as though nothing was happening.

For me, that meant teaching my <u>political participation course at Auburn University</u>.

#### Teaching politics when it gets personal

I'll never forget walking into class on Monday, Feb. 19, and telling the students about the court's ruling and how it—maybe?—was going to jeopardize Gabby's and my IVF process.



Before starting IVF, Gabby and I had gone through three miscarriages together.

IVF doesn't always work. Approximately 55% of IVF patients under the age of 35—Gabby is 26—have a successful pregnancy after one egg retrieval. We couldn't imagine the pain of telling friends and family that our attempt at having a child had once again failed. So we had agreed we were going to tell as few people as possible about starting IVF.

Yet, here I was now, telling my entire class what we were going through and how the Alabama Supreme Court ruling could affect us.

I wasn't alone in sharing our story. The night before my Monday morning class, Gabby published an <u>opinion column</u> on our local news site about the ruling and our resulting fears and anxieties, which really resonated with people.

I was, that day and throughout the next few weeks, fixated on the conceptual gulf between the court's ruling and <u>public opinion</u>. I wondered aloud, "Who's against IVF? Surely, only 5% to 10% of the public agrees with this ruling."

The actual numbers aren't far off my in-class guess. Only 8% of Americans say that IVF is immoral or should be illegal. But the story is more nuanced than that. Approximately 31% of Americans and 49% of Republicans support "considering frozen embryos as people and holding those who destroy them legally responsible."

In an attempt to tie our personal political experience into the class topic, I remarked that this court decision was a surefire way to get people involved in politics. I had no clue at the time how prophetic my comment would be.



#### Fleeing to Texas for reproductive rights?

On Wednesday, Feb. 21, the <u>University of Alabama Birmingham's</u> <u>fertility clinic</u> paused IVF treatments. That wasn't our clinic, but the move sent us into a total panic. Our clinic's closure seemed inevitable—and within 24 hours <u>it had paused IVF treatments as well</u>.

We didn't know what we were going to do, but we knew we were likely leaving the state to continue IVF. I needed to tell my department chair what was going on.

I was walking out of my department chair's office when my phone rang. Gabby told me, "We got in, we're going to Temple." I ran back into my department chair's office, told her we were going to Temple, Texas, and then rushed home.

A reporter from CNN beat me there. It was one of <u>several interviews</u> with <u>major media outlets</u> Gabby did in the wake of her opinion column. After the interview, we threw clothes in a suitcase, dropped our dogs off at the vet and drove to the Atlanta airport. We flew to Texas that night.

The thought of not completing the egg retrieval never seriously entered our minds. We were confident that we could get in with another IVF clinic somewhere, anywhere. But we're affluent. We're privileged. What if we weren't so well off? We wouldn't have wanted to give up, but we wouldn't have been able to afford the fight.

We spent exactly one week at my parents' house in Texas. Thankfully, my parents live an hour and a half away from the Temple clinic. We met our new doctor, <u>Dr. Gordon Wright Bates</u>, and were immediately reassured. His cool expertise and confidence were calming to a stressed-out couple. The Alabama Supreme Court may have upended our lives, but we felt weirdly lucky to be in such a comfortable place.



The egg retrieval was Wednesday morning, Feb. 28. By all indications, it went well. IVF, however, is full of uncertainties. Now we are waiting on the results from preimplantation genetic testing. After that, there's implantation and hoping the embryo continues to grow. We're not in the clear: IVF is a stressful process even without a state court getting in the way. But today we are in a situation more like an average couple going through IVF than we have been in the past few weeks.

Late Wednesday night, March 6, <u>Alabama Gov. Kay Ivey signed into law</u> a <u>bill</u> providing legal protection to IVF clinics in the state. Gabby and I rejoiced at the news. Hopefully, we're the last Alabamian couple to flee the state for IVF.

## A mobilizing moment

When state politics directly interferes with your life, it feels like a gut punch, as if the community that you love is saying you're not loved back. It's easy to see how such an experience could either discourage or motivate you. Research shows that traumatic events, for the most part, depress voter turnout in the following presidential election. By contrast, families and friends of 9/11 victims became and remained more politically engaged than their peers.

In this case, the Alabama Supreme Court ruling mobilized Gabby and other women going through the IVF process. For better or worse, the women, couples and families mobilized by this decision will likely always be more engaged because of it.

"Oh, God," I remarked to my dad, "we're going to be activists now, aren't we?"

"So?" he asked.



"No one likes activists," I responded in jest. But if we're going to have and raise the family we want, this is just the first of many decisions we're going to make that someone's not going to like.

This article is republished from <u>The Conversation</u> under a Creative Commons license. Read the <u>original article</u>.

#### Provided by The Conversation

Citation: Political scientist: How Alabama Supreme Court's IVF ruling turned me into a reproductive-rights refugee (2024, March 12) retrieved 29 April 2024 from <a href="https://medicalxpress.com/news/2024-03-political-scientist-alabama-supreme-court.html">https://medicalxpress.com/news/2024-03-political-scientist-alabama-supreme-court.html</a>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.