

Wildfire smoke warnings need to be issued sooner, study finds





Summed frequencies of wildfire and smoke Tweets authored by institutional accounts (left) and maximum daily AQI values (for $PM_{2.5}$) (right) in 2022 aggregated by account location and month. Data sources: Twitter and US EPA. Credit: *BMC Public Health* (2024). DOI: 10.1186/s12889-024-17907-1

Environmental and public health agencies often don't warn people about



smoke-choked air until it's already swept in, a new study from the University of Oregon suggests.

The study, published on Feb. 5 in the journal *BMC Public Health*, provides recommendations for how <u>public institutions</u> can more effectively communicate wildfire smoke and its risks to provide people ample time to prepare.

Looking at the Pacific Northwest in particular, researchers from the School of Journalism and Communication examined the frequency and content of federal, state and local agencies' health communications on X, formerly known as Twitter.

In an analysis led by Catherine Slavik, a postdoctoral researcher in the school's Center for Science Communication Research, she found that public health messages were primarily reactive: More than half of wildfire-related tweets authored by 32 institutional accounts in 2022 were posted during peak smoke levels, when the risk of exposure was highest.

"On the one side, these institutions are doing a great job of highlighting the risks when the risks are present," Slavik said. "But going forward, hopefully more of these conversations on smoke will be had before it's potentially already in the air and too late to prepare."

Slavik and her colleague Daniel Chapman, a postdoctoral researcher in the Center for Science Communication Research and collaborator with the UO's Center for Wildfire Smoke Research and Practice, also found that most tweets discussed the hazards but not the actions to mitigate them. Only 1 in 7 of the total 1,287 analyzed tweets instructed and encouraged preparatory actions, such as wearing respirators, staying indoors and using at-home air purifiers.



"We want to see more emphasis on the actions people can take to help deal with wildfire smoke," Chapman said. "It's good to be aware, but in the absence of knowing what to do, awareness can only take you so far."

Moreover, only 213 of the total tweets used air quality index labels to report how clean or polluted the air was. Even fewer—64 tweets—described risks with numeric information, such as the percentage likelihood of a fire spreading or the number of acres burning. Previous research, however, has indicated people prefer and are more motivated by numeric information, Chapman said.

In response, the researchers created a list of best practices for government agencies in Oregon and Washington to consider when communicating wildfires and smoke events:

- Communicate the severity, risk, likelihood and mitigation of a hazard.
- Describe risks with numeric information and air quality index levels.
- Engage with communities to foster community-building and resilience.
- Implement proactive communications by discussing wildfire and smoke risks during off-seasons.

Local organizations, like fire departments, are often highly trusted in a community, so messages by regional communicators that incorporate the suggestions may be particularly persuasive, researchers said.

"Any institution that has a clear role in its community has a real opportunity to communicate risk and responses effectively," Chapman said

Journalists also play a key role in telling an accurate and timely story of



fire. Hollie Smith, an associate professor of science and environmental communication in the School of Journalism and Communication and a researcher at the Center for Wildfire Smoke Research and Practice, recently co-authored a <u>guide for Oregon reporters</u> covering wildfires. The project, done in partnership with Oregon State University's Extension Fire Program, provides tips on how to safely approach fire sites and where to obtain credible information.

Smith, associate director of the Center for Science Communication Research, noted the oftentimes negative language associated with fire in the media. Fire, however, has both ecological and cultural significance. The conversation on what fire means to people needs to be more nuanced, she said.

"It's helpful to recognize that we will be living with fire," she said. "So what does that look like today? What did it look like historically? What are the social and economic dimensions of <u>wildfire</u>? These are all important pieces of the conversation."

The researchers at the Center for Science Communication Research are now looking at how to best present information to specific audiences, such as parents on the West Coast, to motivate proactive protective behaviors. Researchers at the Center for Wildfire Smoke Research and Practice are also looking into the barriers communication professionals might face when trying to communicate public health risks.

"There are now three seasons in Oregon: rainy, sunny and smoky," said Ellen Peters, director of the Center for Science Communication Research. "Smoke is such an important and relatively new risk that we face as Oregonians, and we need to understand what the state and <u>federal</u> <u>agencies</u> are doing to communicate it and how they could do better for our communities."



More information: Catherine E. Slavik et al, Clearing the air: evaluating institutions' social media health messaging on wildfire and smoke risks in the US Pacific Northwest, *BMC Public Health* (2024). DOI: 10.1186/s12889-024-17907-1

Provided by University of Oregon

Citation: Wildfire smoke warnings need to be issued sooner, study finds (2024, March 15) retrieved 9 May 2024 from <u>https://medicalxpress.com/news/2024-03-wildfire-issued-sooner.html</u>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.