

## Postmortem genetic tests after sudden death may provide less expensive way to identify risk

November 15 2009

Targeted postmortem testing to identify genetic mutations associated with sudden unexplained death (SUD) is an effective and less expensive way to determine risk to relatives than comprehensive cardiac testing of first degree relatives, according to research presented at the American Heart Association's Scientific Sessions 2009.

Postmortem genetic testing can identify mutations that cause cellular dysfunctions leading to <u>heart rhythm disturbances</u> that can cause <u>sudden</u> <u>cardiac death</u>. Such inherited genetic defects occur in 25 to 30 percent of SUD victims, according to lead researcher Michael J. Ackerman, M.D., Ph.D., pediatric cardiologist and director of the Long QT Syndrome Clinic and the Windland Smith Rice Sudden Death Genomics Laboratory at the Mayo Clinic in Rochester, Minn.

Ackerman and senior research technologist David Tester, B.S., compared the yield and costs of postmortem genetic/molecular autopsy testing in 146 SUD cases. They found that 40 of the victims (26.7 percent) had either a catecholaminergic polymorphic ventricular tachycardia (CPVT) mutation (18) or a long QT syndrome mutation (22), both known contributors to sudden death.

Researchers estimated the costs of testing 160 relatives of victims who tested positive for mutations. The tests included genetic tests and either treadmill stress tests or electrocardiograms.



For the 424 relatives of the 106 victims who tested negative for mutations, researchers estimated the cost to do more extensive clinical cardiac testing.

Researchers estimated that the total cost of doing postmortem genetic testing, genetic confirmation testing of relatives of mutation-positive victims, followed by cardiac tests for both relatives of mutation-positive and mutation-negative SUD victims, was \$6.78 million.

In contrast, the total cost associated with what is currently recommended — comprehensive cardiac testing for all 584 relatives of the SUD victims, regardless of mutation status, followed by directed genetic testing — would have exceeded \$7.7 million.

The researchers' primary endpoint, which they reached, was to see if the postmortem testing model would be less expensive and, if so, how great the savings might be.

"With less than 150 SUD cases, use of a cardiac channel molecular autopsy would be estimated to save almost \$1 million dollars indicating a much less expensive way of evaluating those left behind," Tester said. "If you identify a mutation in a sudden unexplained death victim, you can do a simple genetic test in first-degree relatives to assess their risk and perform a disorder-directed clinical evaluation rather than a full clinical evaluation. If a relative is negative for the causative mutation, they may not need to undergo further clinical evaluation at all, and that saves money."

The researchers said that insurance companies pay for comprehensive cardiac testing for family members despite the fact that commercial molecular/genetic testing of the deceased can provide just as accurate a risk profile and in many cases minimize the need for clinical testing.



"We are reporting that there is data available to make such cardiac risk evaluations on both sides of the grave," said Ackerman. "The real question is whether it is more prudent and effective to have sudden unexplained death surveillance in autopsy-negative cases. Some insurers cover postmortem gene testing, but it is the exception, not the rule."

The prevalence of the mutations in the SUD autopsies and first-degree relatives was comparable to rates reported by European researchers.

"Clinical screening can be much more selective if there is postmortem gene testing for the defects that result in sodium and potassium channelopathies," said Ackerman. "Currently, however, evaluations of the surviving family members are insurance-covered medical expenses whereas postmortem genetic testing has generally been denied."

The study had limitations and needs to be corroborated by further research. "The cohort we studied was not a population-based collection of SUD cases but instead involved cases that were referred by coroner's/medical examiner's throughout North America so we don't know what the true yield of postmortem genetic testing for autopsy negative SUD is at this time," said Tester.

Source: American Heart Association (<u>news</u> : <u>web</u>)

Citation: Postmortem genetic tests after sudden death may provide less expensive way to identify risk (2009, November 15) retrieved 19 September 2024 from <u>https://medicalxpress.com/news/2009-11-postmortem-genetic-sudden-death-expensive.html</u>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.