

# Psychology study explains when and why bystanders intervene in cyberbullying

15 January 2016, by Stuart Wolpert



Even when people agree that someone has been a victim of cyberbullying, participants view the victim more negatively when she posted a highly personal disclosure. Credit: iStock

People on social media are often unsupportive of cyberbullying victims who have shared highly personal feelings, UCLA psychologists report.

Compared to face-to-face situations, bystanders are even less likely to intervene with online bullying. The researchers wanted to learn why bystanders are infrequently supportive of when bullying occurs online.

In a new study, the researchers created a fictitious Facebook profile of an 18-year-old named Kate, who, in response to a post, received a mean comment—"Who cares! This is why nobody likes you"—from a Facebook friend named Sarah. That comment gets six likes.

The study involved 118 people, ages 18 to 22, from throughout the United States, 58 percent of the [participants](#) were female, and were recruited through Amazon Mechanical Turk. They were randomly divided into four groups; each group saw

Sarah's nasty comment in response to a different Facebook post from Kate. Across the four groups, Kate's Facebook post varied in level of personal disclosure (more or less personal) and whether it was positive or negative.

Two groups saw Kate make a highly personal disclosure about a relationship. "I hate it when you miss someone like crazy and you think they might not miss you back ?" (negative) or "I love it when you like someone like crazy and you think they might like you back ?" (positive).

The other two groups saw Kate make a less personal comment about the popular HBO program, "Game of Thrones." "I hate it when a Game of Thrones episode ends and you have to wait a whole week to watch more ?" or "I love it when a Game of Thrones episode ends and you can't wait until next week to watch more ?."

Participants then responded to questions about how much they blamed Kate for being cyberbullied, how much empathy they had for Kate and how likely they would be to support her.

Although the majority of participants considered Sarah's comment an example of cyberbullying, they varied in their responses to Kate's being bullied depending on her original post. Regardless of whether Kate's post was positive or negative, participants viewed Kate more negatively when she posted a highly personal disclosure.

"We found that when the Facebook post is a more personal expression of the victim's feelings, participants showed lower levels of empathy and felt Kate was more to blame for being cyberbullied," said Hannah Schacter, a UCLA graduate student in developmental psychology, and lead author of the study, which is published in the journal *Computers in Human Behavior*.

Participants were asked, on a scale of one to five,

whether they "felt for" Kate and whether they blamed Kate for Sarah's criticism of her. Although the differences were small (about one third of point), they showed a consistent pattern of less forgiving responses when Kate posted about her personal issues as opposed to about Game of Thrones.

The authors found that victim-blaming and empathy for the victim influenced whether participants would intervene by sending a supportive message to the bullying victim (Kate), posting a supportive message, or posting that they disagree with the bully's comment. When participants felt that Kate deserved to be bullied and felt less empathy for her, they were less likely to express support for the victim.

"The emotional reactions toward Kate help explain whether online bystanders are likely to support the victim," said Jaana Juvonen, a UCLA professor of psychology and senior author of the research.

"Our study suggests oversharing of personal information leads bystanders to blame and not feel for the victim," Schacter said.

On [social media](#) websites, there appear to be unwritten rules about what is acceptable, and this study suggests that oversharing personal emotions or information violates these rules, she said.

"Young people need to understand that by revealing personal issues publicly online, they may make themselves more vulnerable to attacks from those seeking to harm others," Juvonen said.

Sharing your feelings with a close friend is quite different from publicly sharing with many people who don't know you well.

However, Schacter and Juvonen emphasize that the study's findings have important implications for changing how people react when they see online bullying. Rather than placing the burden on victims to monitor their online behavior, the authors say that more online empathy is needed. This is a challenge, they note, because bystanders do not see the anguish of victims of online bullying.

"Supportive messages can make a big difference in how the victim feels," Schacter said. Other research, she noted, shows that sharing of troubles can help strengthen friendships among students and young adults.

Previous studies on bullying by Juvonen and her colleagues have found that:

- Bullies are considered the "cool" kids in school.
- Nearly three in four teenagers say they were bullied online at least once during a 12-month period.
- Nearly half of the sixth graders at two Los Angeles-area schools said they were bullied by classmates during a five-day period.

Provided by University of California, Los Angeles

APA citation: Psychology study explains when and why bystanders intervene in cyberbullying (2016, January 15) retrieved 12 April 2021 from <https://medicalxpress.com/news/2016-01-psychology-bystanders-intervene-cyberbullying.html>

*This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.*