The ethical argument to reducing legal blood alcohol concentration level
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Following the special report from NASEM, at least four states, including Hawaii, New York, Washington and Delaware, proposed legislation to lower the legal BAC level to .05, with the law officially passing and going into effect in Utah in 2018.

Even though this proposed legislation could effectively reduce alcohol-related injury and death, many critics claim such a law restricts individual freedom and criminalizes responsible social drinking.

"We make the distinction in our arguments that this law would not penalize or prohibit social drinking, but rather penalize alcohol-impaired driving. A person can still drink as much as they like, just not get behind the wheel. Our society has made it increasingly easy to find a safe ride home through rideshare applications and other resources," said Morain.

Overall, the purpose of the recommendations is to protect the health of the population, as is true for public health in general. The BAC .05 law in particular would benefit two central population groups; the drinking driver and the parties negatively impacted by drinking drivers, including pedestrians and other passengers, as well as the families of victims.

"In the public health ethics field, it is acceptable to restrict individual freedom in order to prevent harm to others, and the BAC .05 laws are a shining example of this philosophy," said Morain. "With Utah passing legislation to reduce the legal BAC level, we hope this signals a window of opportunity for similar legislation to be adopted across the country."
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