

A case against vaccine religious exemptions

April 11 2019, by Tonay Flattum-Riemers And Rachel Alter



A child with measles. Credit: [Mike Blyth](#) via Wikimedia Commons

As of April 4, the U.S. has confirmed [465 cases](#) of measles in 2019. This is the second-largest outbreak since health officials declared measles eliminated in 2000—a milestone that was largely due to widespread vaccination.

[Forty-seven states](#) currently permit religious exemptions from vaccines, though the Constitution does not require them to do so. Given the [health](#)

[risks](#) to unvaccinated children and people with compromised immune systems, and the [skyrocketing financial burden](#) put on states due to vaccine-preventable illnesses, it is time for these states to change their laws so that fringe religious objections do not get in the way of protecting the safety of our communities.

Restriction of religious exemptions is compelling in the context of human rights. Article 25 of the United Nations' [Universal Declaration of Human Rights](#) states that "Everyone has the right to a standard of living adequate for health and well-being of himself and of his family, including[...] [medical care](#)." Surely, if we have the ability to prevent a life-threatening illness from harming a child, we should give that child the protection.

To infringe on religious freedom for the wellbeing of a child is not unprecedented in other realms. Delaware and New Jersey have taken human rights into consideration when [legislating against child marriages](#), for example, and at least seven more states are considering legislation to tighten their child marriage laws. There is no debate over the fact that child marriages lead to [severely detrimental health outcomes](#) including a [23 percent greater risk](#) of chronic disease onset, [higher rates of sexually transmitted infections](#) and early pregnancies, higher rates of death resulting from childbirth, and an increased [risk of psychiatric disorders](#).

Likewise, it is time to consider the good of the public's health above individuals' religious freedoms. The diseases that vaccines prevent are not just mild illnesses; [355 people in the Philippines](#) died of [measles](#) between January 1 and March 26 of this year; [more than 1100 in Madagascar](#) have died since October 2018. Complications that do not end in death are more common and include encephalitis, pneumonia, and ear infections, which can lead to deafness. Scarier still is the possibility of SSPE, or subacute sclerosing panencephalitis, a slowly progressing and ultimately fatal complication of measles that manifests years after

the onset of the initial infection and for which there is no cure.

So why do so many states still allow religious exemptions for vaccination? Restricting all non-medical exemptions—the strategy enacted by West Virginia, Mississippi, and California—is permitted under the First Amendment because [free exercise of religion is not absolute](#). Indeed, in the case of *Prince v. Massachusetts* (1944), the Supreme Court ruled that a parent "cannot claim freedom from compulsory vaccination for the child more than for himself on religious grounds. The right to practice religion freely does not include liberty to expose the community or the child to communicable disease or the latter to ill health or death."

[None of the country's largest religions](#) prohibits the use of vaccines, and [only a small handful](#) of minor religions—Scientology, Dutch Reformed Congregations, and certain faith healing denominations—resist vaccination due to theological objections. Yet 47 states allow for religious exemptions. Only 17 of those also allow for personal belief exemptions, which means that in 30 states, the only non-medical exemptions being granted are for people claiming their religion does not allow it. In the 2016-2017 [school year](#), [nearly 27,000 public school children](#) living in those 30 states were exempt from the MMR, DTaP, and varicella vaccines.

Given that many of these exemptions cluster in communities, and there were likely thousands more who were homeschooled and thus not counted in that statistic, certain pockets of the country exist where vaccination rates fall [dangerously low](#). During the 2013-2014 school year, for example, 42% of children at the Church of God Christian Academy in Far Rockaway, Queens had religious exemptions, and fewer than 57% were completely immunized.

[Ninety percent of unvaccinated individuals](#) who come in contact with the

measles virus will also become infected, and with the rising rate of measles prevalence all over the world, it is not hard to expect that many of these children will become infected.

Unvaccinated individuals also place an additional health burden on people such as pregnant women and chemotherapy patients, who are unable to get vaccinated for legitimate medical reasons. "Herd immunity," sometimes known as "community immunity," happens when most members of a community block the transmission of disease so that it cannot incubate long enough to affect the most vulnerable of the community. With [near total](#) but not universal coverage, herd immunity can help protect people who cannot be vaccinated for medical reasons, but it begins to fall apart when exemptions are high and clustered together based on religious and social norms.

On top of the health issues, not vaccinating brings with it a significant financial cost. The cost of vaccine-preventable diseases from those who choose not to be vaccinated amounted to \$7.1 billion in just 2015 alone, a substantial burden that can easily be mitigated. [It has been estimated that](#) "responding to a single case of measles can cost as much as \$142,000, depending on the location and number of cases that must be traced, the amount of postexposure prophylaxis that must be administered, and the number of people quarantined."

Would removing the religious exemption actually lead to increased vaccination rates? Following a massive [measles outbreak](#) in 2014, California repealed both religious and personal belief exemptions. Though the rate of medical exemptions increased, the overall prevalence of exemptions in the state decreased from [2.54 percent to 1.06 percent](#). The improvement may seem small, but in a state so large, 1% actually accounts for over a hundred thousand people, thus greatly improving the community's herd immunity.

The United States has seen a significant uptick in the number of measles cases since 2000, when the disease was nearly eradicated from the country. Already, just four months into 2019, we've [seen more cases of measles](#) than in 8 of the last 9 years, and at this rate, we are well on our way to overtaking 2014, the year of the Disneyland outbreak. Now, when so many Americans see the devastating damage caused all over the world by completely preventable diseases, is the time to make a change in the name of human rights. Now is the time to ban religious vaccine exemptions.

This story is republished courtesy of Earth Institute, Columbia University
<http://blogs.ei.columbia.edu>.

Provided by Earth Institute, Columbia University

Citation: A case against vaccine religious exemptions (2019, April 11) retrieved 26 April 2024 from <https://medicalxpress.com/news/2019-04-case-vaccine-religious-exemptions.html>

<p>This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.</p>
--