

Technology better than tape measure for identifying lymphedema risk

7 May 2019, by Tom Wilemon

Bioimpedance spectroscopy (BIS) is better than a tape measure for assessing a woman's risk for developing lymphedema after breast cancer surgery, according to interim results of a study led by Sheila Ridner, Ph.D., RN, Martha Ingram Professor and director of the Ph.D. in Nursing Science Program at Vanderbilt University School of Nursing.

The multisite international study compares the two methods for identifying women who should be prescribed compression sleeves and gauntlets to reduce lymphatic fluid in the arm and prevent progression to lymphedema.

BIS surveillance reduced rates of progression by approximately 10%, a clinically meaningful improvement. Interim findings from the study were published May 3 in *Annals of Surgical Oncology* and Ridner presented the analysis during the annual meeting of The American Society of Breast Surgeons in Dallas.

"The bioimpedance device measures lymphatic fluid, and the [tape](#) measures everything," said Ridner, a researcher with Vanderbilt-Ingram Cancer Center. "It takes more lymphatic fluid to make your whole arm volume change than it does to make the device pick up changes. The device is just more sensitive to changes in [lymphatic fluid](#)."

Breast cancer related lymphedema affects between 20% and 30% percent of women due to damage to the lymph glands from surgery, radiation and some medicines, Ridner said. Lymphedema causes swelling in the arm, can be physically debilitating and puts women at greater risk for infections as well as psychological stress.

The results are an interim analysis of an ongoing controlled trial called PREVENT, launched in 2014 and led by Ridner. The analysis involved 508 participants who had been monitored for a year or longer. Participants identified at risk for

lymphedema received compression sleeves and gauntlets and were instructed to wear them 12 hours daily for 28 days to prevent progression to lymphedema. Patients who developed lymphedema reached their endpoint with the trial and were referred to clinicians for complex decongestive physiotherapy (CDP).

"CDP is resource intensive and costly," Ridner said. "Lymphedema therapists are not accessible everywhere and mostly are in metropolitan areas. You go an hour-and-a-half in any direction outside of Nashville, for example, and we can't find people to treat these patients."

Clinicians have traditionally used tape measures to monitor [breast cancer patients](#) for lymphedema, but that method can vary greatly depending upon how a clinician does this.

"Tape measure is the most commonly used method around the world even though it is fraught with error," Ridner said. "To get [accurate measurements](#) for a research study, there is an incredible amount of training to teach all the sites in this international study how to measure the same way. I do annual fidelity oversight visits to every single site to make sure there has not been any slippage in the protocol."

BIS is a painless and noninvasive procedure that entails running an electronic signal through the body. The technology is similar to electronic monitors for body mass index, but much more refined.

Although the study showed that participants in the BIS experienced reduced rates of progression to [lymphedema](#) requiring CDP, the tape measure group triggered an intervention more often and earlier. The median time that triggered an intervention in the tape measure group was 2.8 months versus 9.5 months for the BIS group.

"It is possible that at three months post-surgery in some patients there remains a generalized, whole-arm inflammatory response that is identified by tape measure," the analysis states. "Increased extracellular fluid may not be a major factor in that volume change."

Ridner and the research team will evaluate the factors associated with triggering for both groups going forward.

"We had statistically significant more people trigger an intervention that were in the tape group than in the BIS group, which was contrary to what many people thought would have happened in the study. One of the concerns about BIS in general was that it might generate false positives and we might psychologically distress people," Ridner said. "That was never my experience in the 15 to 16 years I've been working with the technology."

More information: Sheila H. Ridner et al. A Randomized Trial Evaluating Bioimpedance Spectroscopy Versus Tape Measurement for the Prevention of Lymphedema Following Treatment for Breast Cancer: Interim Analysis, *Annals of Surgical Oncology* (2019). [DOI: 10.1245/s10434-019-07344-5](https://doi.org/10.1245/s10434-019-07344-5)

Provided by Vanderbilt University

APA citation: Technology better than tape measure for identifying lymphedema risk (2019, May 7) retrieved 27 September 2020 from <https://medicalxpress.com/news/2019-05-technology-tape-lymphedema.html>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.