

Which COVID-19 models should we use to make policy decisions?

7 May 2020



A new process to evaluate multiple disease models will help identify which intervention measures may be most successful during an outbreak. Shown here, the entry process for students at Lanzhou University in China involves scanning a university ID, which is associated with the student's body temperature history, travel history, and other information, while a machine detects current body temperature. Credit: Shouli Li, Lanzhou University


With so many COVID-19 models being developed, how do policymakers know which ones to use? A new process to harness multiple disease models for outbreak management has been developed by an international team of researchers. The team describes the process in a paper appearing May 8 in the journal *Science* and was awarded a Grant for Rapid Response Research (RAPID) from the National Science Foundation to immediately implement the process to help inform policy decisions for the COVID-19 outbreak.

During a disease [outbreak](#), many research groups independently generate models, for example projecting how the disease will spread, which groups will be impacted most severely, or how implementing a particular management action might affect these dynamics. These models help inform public health policy for managing the

outbreak.

"While most models have strong scientific underpinnings, they often differ greatly in their projections and policy recommendation," said Katriona Shea, professor of biology and Alumni Professor in the Biological Sciences, Penn State. "This means that policymakers are forced to rely on consensus when it appears, or on a single trusted source of advice, without confidence that their decisions will be the best possible."

At the onset of an outbreak, particularly for a new disease, a large amount of information is often unavailable or unknown, and researchers must make decisions about how to incorporate this uncertainty into their models, leading to differing projections. For the COVID-19 outbreak, for example, uncertainty is present in a wide range of areas, from infection rate to details of transmission to the capacity of health care systems. The designers of each [model](#) bring their own perspective and approach to address these uncertainties.



A new process to evaluate multiple disease outbreak models will help inform public health policy decisions for managing the outbreak. The process is currently being applied to the current COVID-19 outbreak. Credit: Will Probert, University of Oxford

"In order to improve modeling and analysis of epidemic disease, it is essential to develop protocols that deliberately generate and evaluate valuable individual ideas from across the modeling community," said Michael Runge, a research ecologist at the U.S. Geological Survey's Patuxent Wildlife Research Center who specializes in decision analysis for wildlife management. "We have identified [best practices](#) that allow the synthesis and evaluation of input from multiple modeling groups in an efficient and timely manner."

In the three-part process, multiple research groups first create models for specified management

scenarios, for example, addressing how caseload would be affected if social isolation measures were lifted this summer, or how the duration of the outbreak would change if students return to school in the fall. The research groups work independently during this step to encourage a wide range of ideas without prematurely conforming to a certain way of thinking. Then, the modeling groups formally discuss their models with each other—an important addition to previous multiple model methods—which allows them to examine why their models might disagree. Finally, the groups work independently again to refine their models, based on the insights from the discussion and comparison stage.

After group discussion and individual model refinement, the models are combined into an overall projection for each management strategy, which can be used to help guide risk analysis and policy deliberation. At this stage, methods from the field of decision analysis can allow the decision maker, for example a public health agency, to understand the merits of different management options in the face of the existing uncertainty.

Additionally, the combined results can help identify which uncertainty—what pieces of missing information—are most critical to learn about in order to improve models and thus improve decision making, providing a way to prioritize research directions.

"This process allows us to embrace uncertainty, rather than hastening to a premature consensus that could derail or deflect management efforts," said Shea. "The process encourages a healthy conversation between scientists and decision makers, enabling policy agencies to more effectively achieve their management goals."

Even after initial decisions are made, the process can continue as new information about the outbreak and management becomes available. This "adaptive management" strategy can allow researchers to refine their models and make new predictions as the outbreak progresses. For COVID-19, this process might inform how and when isolation and travel bans are lifted, and if these or other measures might be necessary again in the future.

The research team plans to implement this process immediately for COVID-19. By taking advantage of the many research groups already producing models for the current outbreak, the strategy should be easy to implement while producing more robust results from the existing process. The team will share results with the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention as they are generated.

"We hope this process actively feeds into policy for the COVID-19 response in the United States," said Shea. "It also provides a framework for future outbreak settings, including emerging diseases and agricultural pest species, and management of endemic infectious diseases, including vaccination strategies and disease surveillance."

More information: K. Shea et al., "Harnessing multiple models for outbreak management," *Science* (2020). [science.sciencemag.org/cgi/doi ... 1126/science.abb9934](https://science.sciencemag.org/cgi/doi/10.1126/science.abb9934)

Provided by Pennsylvania State University

APA citation: Which COVID-19 models should we use to make policy decisions? (2020, May 7) retrieved 22 October 2020 from <https://medicalxpress.com/news/2020-05-covid-policy-decisions.html>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.