

# Regional lockdowns could shorten the total duration of restrictions

July 29 2020, by Caroline Hoffrogge




Illustration of the model used by the researchers. It assumes that most contacts in a population take place inside local regions (small white arrows between individuals), while a certain proportion of contacts take place across regions (large arrows between counties). If the number of infections in a region exceeds a specific threshold for a certain time, restrictions are initiated (red county) which locally push the reproduction number below 1. A certain time after the number of infections returns below the threshold, the restrictions are lifted again. Credit: MPIDS/ Novak

A team of scientists at the Max Planck Institute for Dynamics and Self-Organization in Göttingen has simulated possible courses of the corona pandemic. The calculations show that regional measures can keep the epidemic under control with substantially fewer restrictions than nationally imposed lockdowns if the number of cross-regional infections is low enough. However, regional thresholds for local restrictions should be lower than those currently agreed upon in, e.g., Germany. Even if these lower thresholds lead to more frequent regional lockdowns, the long-term benefits of the strategy would outweigh the local measures triggered by them.

A uniform framework for triggering measures can ensure a swift response to rising infection numbers in individual regions. The number of cross-regional infections should be monitored by contact tracing and, if necessary, be reduced. In addition, the researchers recommend an expansion of testing even before threshold values are reached.


In many countries, the first wave of the SARS-CoV-2 epidemic was controlled successfully with severe restrictions on public and private life—so-called lockdowns. These included nationwide contact bans, travel restrictions and the closing of shops and schools. Instead of such drastic restrictions, many countries are now turning to more fine-grained approaches that consist of regionally and temporally limited measures.

Ramin Golestanian, director of the Department "Living Matter Physics" at the Max Planck Institute for Dynamics and Self-Organization, Philip Bittihn and their team developed a model that describes two different types of contacts: those within individual regions (e.g., counties) and those with the rest of the population beyond regional boundaries. The proportion of cross-regional contacts determines the so-called "leakiness," i.e. how easily infections can spread between regions. In the model, individual regions impose local contact restrictions if they exceed a certain number of infections, similar to the regional strategy currently

being pursued in Germany.

To determine how severely the population would be affected by the local lockdowns, they measured how long the average citizen in the simulation experienced restrictions. They were then able to compare this 'restriction time' with results for an otherwise identical national strategy.

The study includes simulations for Germany, England, Italy, New York State and Florida over the course of the next five years. It takes into account current infection numbers, the individual regional structure of each country and the respective lockdown efficiency in the past. However, the observed effects are universal and occur in many non-equilibrium systems exhibiting dynamics determined by individual, not fully predictable events. Special emphasis in the simulation was therefore placed on the role of individual infection events: While, at the peak of the first wave of the epidemic the dynamics could largely be tracked with simple models for an entire country, in reality, disease spreading is a discrete process with individual infection events subject to inherent random fluctuations. If the numbers infections in individual regions are very low, the [special effects](#) in this so-called "small-number regime" must be taken into account, as the team had already found in a previous study.



Simulations for the four different combinations of national/local control and high/low leakiness. The respective top row (gray background) represents total infections in Germany, the rows below (blue background) show infection numbers in exemplary regions. Lockdown periods are marked in red. Only the combination of local control and low leakiness (i.e. a low cross-regional infection rate) effectively leads to fewer restrictions and declining total infection numbers. Credit: MPIDS/ Bittihn

## **Proportion of cross-regional infections is a crucial parameter**

The results of the study are striking: Compared to a centralized countrywide strategy, regional containment can significantly reduce the restriction time for the citizens—in some cases by a factor of ten. However, this requires a low enough number of cross-regional infections. "If the fraction of cross-regional contacts is at a few percent, the number of infections in a region can potentially reach zero due to the local measures. The measures in different regions can then work together and contain local outbreaks more quickly than new ones arise through cross-regional contacts (a cooperative effect). However, the dependence of the restriction time on the leakiness is quite sharp and an increase of cross-regional infections beyond this sharp transition can bring the overall restriction time to the level of the national strategy. In the presence of frequent cross-regional infections, a local strategy can sometimes even lead to more restrictions for individual regions compared to a central, nationwide strategy," explains Ramin Golestanian.

In the context of a local containment strategy, cross-regional infections should therefore be given special attention. Reducing them below the level at which the restriction time decreases abruptly does not necessarily require restrictions in mobility. Instead, one could identify groups of people with a particular risk of spreading the disease and provide them with better protective equipment. "The problem is that we do not currently have a good quantitative idea about the role of cross-regional infections. However, given the the current low infection numbers, this information could be obtained through effective contact tracing. With these data at hand, it would be much more effective to discuss concrete measures to reduce cross-regional infections, should the need arise. In this case, freedom within individual regions would not be restricted. On the contrary—many future restrictions would be prevented," says Philip Bittihn.

## Strict local containment

The simulations show that a threshold for triggering local restrictions of about ten infections per 100,000 inhabitants within seven days is successful. This value is lower than the 50 infections per 100,000 inhabitants currently considered in Germany. "Of course, such strict control with low thresholds could initially lead to more lockdowns. In the long term, however, the total duration of the restrictions would be lower. To implement such low thresholds, extensive testing should be carried out to ensure that the number of undetected cases is low," says Ramin Golestanian.

Compared to other countries, Germany could more easily benefit from a local containment [strategy](#) and keep the total restriction time short. "Countries with smaller regions can use existing administrative levels like the county structure to develop and implement local containment strategies that exploit the effects we find in our study. This is because, in regions with smaller populations, there is a higher probability to completely eradicate the disease. States with a large [number](#) of very densely populated regions, on the other hand, could compensate for their negative effects by dividing them into smaller units, if possible, or by setting stricter thresholds for the initiation of local lockdowns," explains Bittihn.

It seems intuitive that local measures result in fewer restrictions for the population—after all, they are only used precisely when and where needed. The study now clarifies the conditions under which a true reduction in total restriction time can be achieved: appropriate thresholds for local lockdowns, low cross-regional [infection](#) rates and regional administrative structures for implementing the measures.

**More information:** Bittihn et al., Local measures enable COVID-19 containment with fewer restrictions due to cooperative effects. (2020).

[DOI: 10.1101/2020.07.24.20161364](https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.07.24.20161364)

Bittihn et al., Containment strategy for an epidemic based on fluctuations in the SIR model. arXiv:2003.08784 [q-bio.PE].  
[arxiv.org/abs/2003.08784](https://arxiv.org/abs/2003.08784)

Provided by Max Planck Society

Citation: Regional lockdowns could shorten the total duration of restrictions (2020, July 29)  
retrieved 20 September 2024 from  
<https://medicalxpress.com/news/2020-07-regional-lockdowns-shorten-total-duration.html>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.