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Social media apps are useful sources of information. They help us catch up with the activities of friends, news, current affairs, government COVID updates and the latest happenings in celebrity and sport.

But during the pandemic, you may have felt you spend too much time on social media. On occasion you may have seen the phrase "social media detox" posted by users who want to stop their social media use entirely for a period of time, presumably because they feel that it's become excessive.

With concerns about the frequency of social media use, particularly among young people, allied with language such as "detox," it's no surprise researchers who work in the field of addictions have started to assess whether social media engagement might be an activity which could cross a threshold from frequent use to addictive behavior.

In our new study, we investigated whether people who use social media a lot display one key aspect of addiction—something called an attentional bias.

Addictive behaviors for both chemical substances (such as alcohol) and non-chemical substances (such as gambling) give rise to similar symptoms and behaviors. One of the most prominent of these addictive characteristics is an "attentional bias" to addiction related objects, images, and paraphernalia. Those addicted to smoking, for example, are more likely to have their attention captured by cigarettes and other smoking related stimuli.

In our new research, led by University of Strathclyde student Katie Thomson, we sought to assess whether this kind of attentional bias was evident in social media users. We presented 100 participants with mock iPhone displays, and asked them to detect a target app (Siri or camera) as quickly and as accurately as they could, while trying hard to ignore the other apps in the display.

On some of the experimental trials, the "distractor apps" were not social media apps at all. In others, one of the distractors was the social media app.
The purpose of this was to assess whether users who reported the greatest level of use and engagement with social media were more likely to have their attention captured by the social media distractor apps—with or without notifications—compared to those who displayed more typical levels of use. This would have demonstrated an attentional bias to social media related stimuli.

**Distracted?**

However, our findings didn't support the presence of an attentional bias—a key characteristic of addictive behavior. We did not find, for example, that those who checked and posted on Facebook ten times a day were any more likely to have their attention captured by the Facebook distractor app—with notifications or not—than someone who only posted and checked their Facebook account once a week.

Research on the effects of social media on users' health and behavior is still relatively new. But our study provides some evidence to support the side of the debate which suggests that we must be careful not to "over-pathologise" social media use.

There are now several studies which have argued that there may be no, or at best a weak, link between individual differences in social media use and users' levels of depression and anxiety, for example. In addition, there are studies which also show the positive aspects of social media use such as enhancing feelings of social connection and wellbeing.

Our new research adds to the current debate by supporting the view that frequent social media use may not, at present, fit neatly into traditional addiction frameworks.
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